



MISSISSIPPI STATE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH

**REPORT OF INSPECTION OF DRINKING WATER SUPPLY**

**PWS:** 0250008 **Class:** A

An inspection of the CITY OF JACKSON water supply in HINDS county was made on 02/04/2020. Present at the time of inspection was TERENCE A BYRD, OPERATOR; CHARLES E WILLIAMS JR, OWNER; WRITER. Official CHARLES E WILLIAMS JR Address PO BOX 17 JACKSON MS 39205 W.W. Operator TERENCE A BYRD Address 2430 LADD STREET JACKSON MS 39209 No. Connections 71486 No. Meters \_\_\_ Population Served 173514 Field Chemical Analysis: pH \_\_\_ Cl2(free) \_\_\_ Cl2(total) \_\_\_ H2S N/A Iron \_\_\_ Fluoride \_\_\_ Point of Sampling DISTRIBUTION Water Rates \_\_\_ This inspection included a sanitary survey for compliance with the Ground Water Rule.

---

**COMMENTS**

Technical: 1 Managerial: 4 Financial: 4

**OVERALL CAPACITY RATING: 3.0 / 5.0**

1. This Sanitary Survey is generated based on the site visits conducted the week of February 3, 2020 during the EPA / NEICs onsite inspections and/or during a site visit made by MSDH on February 26, 2020. Data from monthly and weekly operating reports along with operator log books contributed to the citing of deficiencies. Any comments NOT pertaining to specific deficiencies have been removed.
2. Condition of source facility: The intake building at the reservoir is in failing condition with holes in the roof. The potassium permanagate feed system at this location is inoperable.
3. Condition of source facility: The walkway to the raw water pumps at JH Fewell is in a failing state due to the wooden support system.
4. Transmission of source water: From conversations with City personnel, the condition of the raw water transmission mains from the reservoir to OB Curtis impedes treatment and disallows major repairs to be made.

5. Capacity of treatment facilities: The O. B. Curtis microscreens have been in a state of disrepair or only partially functioning for almost a year. This equipment plays a vital role in the treatment processes of both the conventional and membrane treatment trains. Since they are inoperable, the amount of water that can be treated is limited. Reports from City personnel as to when they will be repaired and/or replaced have not been consistent. No definitive deadline for the necessary work has been set or communicated to MSDH.
6. Function and condition of treatment facilities: Sludge removal of coagulation solids is a necessary part of conventional drinking water treatment. The claritrac systems are the defined method of sludge removal for both the O. B. Curtis and J. H. Fewell WTP. In lieu of functional claritrac systems for several years, both plants have used draining basins as the standard practice for handling the sludge build-up. This was witnessed by NEIC and MSDH staff during our inspection. This disrupts the treatment process as the sludge blanket accumulates to 10+ feet and is very wasteful for treatment chemicals. Additionally, the current levels of sludge maintained in the basins significantly increases the chance of treatment process issues or complete loss of the conventional treatment process. Claritrac systems must be functioning to have optimized conventional treatment. This equipment is vital to uninterrupted treatment and production of safe drinking water.
7. Function and condition of treatment facilities: As the membrane system operates as direct filtration, the flocculation stage is mandatory to decrease the solids loading on the membrane fibers. At the NEIC inspection only 6 of the 12 flocculation motors were online or functional.
8. Function and condition of treatment facilities: The Membrane Integrity Testing (MIT) is the GE or Suez Zeeweed Z500D system's method of proving the fibers are achieving LT2 Log Removal Values (LRV) for cryptosporidium removal. If a train fails MIT, and the LRV is not reported, then the City cannot assure their customers and MSDH that they are properly treating the water to Safe Drinking Water Act Standards. There are various reasons as to why the MIT fails, but according to the CFR, none of those matter for regulation purposes. The MIT must be functioning for all trains in order to stay online. If they cannot pass MIT, then the train must be taken offline immediately.
9. Function and condition of treatment facilities: The membrane system has been lacking a cover to prevent the membrane fibers from being exposed to the elements since the membrane system was installed in 2006. This missing piece of the facility further adds to undue stress to the membrane plant and all its outdoor equipment (fibers, floc motors, crane, etc).
10. Function and condition of treatment facilities: The conventional filters at both treatment facilities are overdue for rehabilitation. Filter media needs to be replaced and some underdrains and/or valving need to be repaired and/or updated. Due to filter performance records submitted, MSDH is limiting the capacity of JH Fewell to 20 MGD.
11. Disinfection: The chlorine and ammonia feed systems have been running on manual for portions of the time frame in documents submitted to MSDH.
12. Condition of storage tanks: Upon inspection of two ground storage tanks on the well system, inspectors noted the condition of the tanks on TV Road and Maddox Road. Before the TV Road booster station is put back in service, a thorough inspection by a certified contractor must be made of this tank. Also, the Maddox Road tank requires site work. It was observed that there was at least 3" of standing water around the base of the tank indicating draining issues that must be corrected. By observing the gravel support beams along the outside of the tank, it appears that the ground has shifted. Some of the supports are still flush against the tank while others have significant gaps.

13. Automatic controls: The soda ash and ACH feed systems at OB Curtis are not continually operating in automatic. The soda ash system is lacking a proper dilution system, so the pH climbs to dangerously unsafe levels. pH should not exceed 9.7 leaving either plant.
14. Monitoring plans and systems: Throughout both plants, there are online monitoring devices measuring pH, chlorine, turbidity, electrical charge (streaming current). It has been reported that some of these monitors relay signals to other dosing equipment and flow-pacing. (i.e. ammonia feed works with the chlorine feed; streaming current works with coagulant dosing.) Upon the walk through of both plants, it was discovered that a significant number of these monitors were working improperly. Operators are treating water based on grab samples taken every 4 hours. This is unacceptable and leads to instances of losing part or the entire treatment process.
15. Water system staffing: A certified Class A water operator must be onsite at all times that the treatment plant is in operation. If there are non-certified employees leading a shift, a certified operator must also be there. It was observed on February 26, 2020 at O. B. Curtis that a non-certified operator was coming on shift to relieve the previous shift's certified operator. Log book data shows that non-certified employees are often working shifts without certified operators.
16. Inadequate follow-up on previous deficiencies: According to the original corrosion control study completed following the 2015 lead action level exceedance, both treatment plants were to have been switched from lime to soda ash by December 31, 2019. At the conclusion of that agreement, only OB Curtis had soda ash installed. At the time of this report, that system is not functioning properly. Per discussion with City personnel, they desire to do an additional study to determine whether JH Fewell should remain on lime or switch to soda ash. Until soda ash is installed at JH Fewell or a new corrosion control study proves that lime is a viable corrosion inhibitor is accepted by MSDH, the City will remain in violation.
17. Due to the severity of the deficiencies listed, operator log books should be submitted with each Weekly Operating Report until repairs are made at all facilities and MSDH confidence is restored in the City of Jackson's ability to continuously meet and or exceed all federal and state regulations

Completed by Amy L. McLeod, E.I. on 03/23/2020.

Reviewed by Randall B. Smith, E.I. on 04/01/2020.

If you have any questions, please call (601)576-7518.

pc:

CHARLES E WILLIAMS JR, OFFICIAL  
TERENCE A BYRD, OPERATOR



## Mississippi Department of Health Bureau of Public Water Supply

STANDARD FORM

### FY 2020 Public Water System Capacity Assessment Form

**NOTE:** This form must be completed whenever a routine sanitary survey of a public water system is conducted by a regional engineer of the Bureau of Public Water Supply

PWS ID#: 0250008 Class: A Survey Date: 02-04-2020 County: HINDS  
 Public Water System: CITY OF JACKSON Conn: 71486  
 Certified Waterworks Operator: TERENCE A BYRD Pop: 173514

#### CAPACITY RATING DETERMINATION

Technical (T) Capacity Rating: [ 1 ] Managerial (M) Capacity Rating [ 4 ] Financial (F) Capacity Rating [ 4 ]

$$\text{Capacity Rating} = \frac{T+M+F}{3} = \frac{9}{3} = 3$$

**Overall Capacity Rating = 3.0**

Completed by Amy L. McLeod, E.I. on 03/11/2020

Reviewed by Randall B. Smith, E.I. on 04/01/2020

**Comments:** \_\_\_\_\_

Technical Capacity Assessment	Point Scale	Point Award
[T1] Does the water system have any significant deficiencies? <input checked="" type="radio"/> Y <input type="radio"/> N ]	N - 1pt. Y - 0pt.	0
[T2] 1) Was the water treatment process functioning properly? <input type="radio"/> Y <input checked="" type="radio"/> N ] (i.e. Is pH, iron, chlorine, fluoride, etc. within acceptable range?) 2) Was needed water system equipment in place and functioning properly at the time of survey? <input type="radio"/> Y <input checked="" type="radio"/> N ] (NOTE: Equipment deficiencies must be identified in survey report.) 3) Were records available to the regional engineer clearly showing that all water storage tanks have been inspected and cleaned or painted (if needed) within the past 5 years? <input checked="" type="radio"/> Y <input type="radio"/> N <input type="radio"/> NA ] (NOTE: All YESs required to receive point)	All Y - 1 pt. Else - 0 pt.	0
[T3] 1) Was the certified waterworks operator or his/her authorized representative present for the survey? <input checked="" type="radio"/> Y <input type="radio"/> N ] 2) Was PWS Operations record up to date and properly maintained? <input checked="" type="radio"/> Y <input type="radio"/> N ] (Are minimum days being met based on system classification) 3) Was the water system properly maintained at the time of survey? <input type="radio"/> Y <input checked="" type="radio"/> N ] 4) Did operator/system personnel satisfactorily demonstrate to the regional engineer that he/she could fully perform all water quality tests required to properly operate this water system? <input checked="" type="radio"/> Y <input type="radio"/> N ] (NOTE: All YESs required to receive point)	All Y - 1 pt. Else - 0 pt.	0
[T4] 1) Does water system routinely track water loss and were acceptable record available for review? <input checked="" type="radio"/> Y <input type="radio"/> N ] 2) Is water system overloaded? (i.e. serving customers in excess of MSDH approved design capacity)? <input type="radio"/> Y <input checked="" type="radio"/> N ] 3) Was there any indication that the water system is/has been experiencing pressure problems in any part(s) of the distribution system? <input type="radio"/> Y <input checked="" type="radio"/> N ] (based on operator information, customer complaints, MSDH records, other information) 4) Are well pumping tests performed routinely? <input checked="" type="radio"/> Y <input type="radio"/> N <input type="radio"/> NA ] (NOTE: YES FOR #1 & YES OR N/A FOR #4 AND NOs FOR #2 & #3 required to receive point)	1)Y - pt. 2)N - pt. 3)N - pt. 4)Y - pt.	1
[T5] 1) Does the water system have the ability to provide water during power outages? (i.e. generator, emergency tie-ins, etc.) <input type="radio"/> Y <input checked="" type="radio"/> N ] 2) Does the water system have a usable backup source of water? <input type="radio"/> Y <input checked="" type="radio"/> N ] (NOTE: Must be documented on survey report)	All Y - 1 pt. Else - 0 pt.	0
<b>TECHNICAL CAPACITY RATING = [ <u>1</u> ] (Total Points)</b>		

<b>Managerial Capacity Assessment</b>	<b>Point Scale</b>	<b>Point Award</b>
[M1] Were all SDWA required records maintained in a logical and orderly manner and available for review by the regional engineer during the survey? <input checked="" type="radio"/> Y <input type="radio"/> N	Y - 1pt. N - 0pt.	1
[M2] 1) Have acceptable written policies and procedures for operating this water system been formally adopted and were these policies available for review during the survey? <input checked="" type="radio"/> Y <input type="radio"/> N 2) Have all board members (in office more than 12 months) completed Board Member Training? <input type="radio"/> Y <input type="radio"/> N <input checked="" type="radio"/> NA 3) Does the Board of Directors meet monthly and were minutes of Board meetings available for review during the survey? <input checked="" type="radio"/> Y <input type="radio"/> N <input type="radio"/> NA (NOTE: Quarterly meetings allowed if system has an officially designated full time manager) (NOTE: ALL YESs or NAs required to receive point. NA - Not Applicable)	All Y - 1 pt. Else - 0 pt.	1
[M3] Has the water system had any SDWA violations since the last Capacity Assessment? <input checked="" type="radio"/> Y <input type="radio"/> N	N - 1pt. Y - 0pt.	0
[M4] Has the water system developed a long range improvements plan and was this plan available for review during the survey? <input checked="" type="radio"/> Y <input type="radio"/> N	Y - 1pt. N - 0pt.	1
[M5] 1) Does the water system have an effective cross connection control program in compliance with MSDH regulations? <input checked="" type="radio"/> Y <input type="radio"/> N 2) Was a copy of the MSDH approved bacti site plan and lead/copper site plan available for review during the survey and do the bacti results clearly show that this approved plan is being followed? <input checked="" type="radio"/> Y <input type="radio"/> N (NOTE: All YESs required to receive point)	All Y - 1 pt. Else - 0 pt.	1
<b>MANAGERIAL CAPACITY RATING = [ <u>4</u> ] (Total Points)</b>		

<b>Financial Capacity Assessment</b>	<b>Point Scale</b>	<b>Point Award</b>
[F1] Has the water system raised water rates in the past 5 years? <input checked="" type="radio"/> Y <input type="radio"/> N (NOTE: Point may be awarded if the water system provides acceptable financial documentation clearly showing that a rate increase is not needed, i.e. revenue has consistently exceeded expenditures by at least 10%, etc.)	Y - 1pt. N - 0pt.	1
[F2] Does the water system have an officially adopted policy requiring that water rates be routinely reviewed and adjusted as appropriate and was this policy available for review during the survey? <input checked="" type="radio"/> Y <input type="radio"/> N	Y - 1pt. N - 0pt.	1
[F3] Does the water system have an officially adopted cut-off policy for customers who do not pay their water bills, was a copy of this policy available for review by the regional engineer, and do system records (cut-off lists, etc.) clearly show that the water system effectively implements this cut-off policy? <input checked="" type="radio"/> Y <input type="radio"/> N	Y - 1pt. N - 0pt.	1
[F4] Was a copy of the water system's officially adopted annual budget available for review by the regional engineer and does the water system's financial accounting system clearly and accurately track the expenditure and receipt of funds? <input checked="" type="radio"/> Y <input type="radio"/> N	Y - 1pt. N - 0pt.	1
[F5 - Municipal Systems] 1) Was a copy of the latest audit report available for review at the time of the survey? <input type="radio"/> Y <input checked="" type="radio"/> N 2) Does this audit report clearly show that water and sewer fund account(s) are maintained separately from all other municipal accounts? <input checked="" type="radio"/> Y <input type="radio"/> N (NOTE: Yes answer to all questions required to receive point.)	All Y - 1 pt. Else - 0 pt.	0
[F5 - Rural Systems] 1) Was the latest financial report / audit report available for review? <input type="radio"/> Y <input type="radio"/> N 2) Does the latest financial report show that receipts exceeded expenditures? <input type="radio"/> Y <input type="radio"/> N (NOTE: Yes answer to both questions required to receive point)	All Y - 1 pt. Else - 0 pt.	0
<b>FINANCIAL CAPACITY RATING = [ <u>4</u> ] (Total Points)</b>		

**MISSISSIPPI DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH  
BUREAU OF PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY  
DESIGN CAPACITY SHEET**

System: **CITY OF JACKSON**  
ID: **0250008** Class: **A** County: **HINDS**

Date Completed: **03/12/2020**  
Connections - Actual: **71486** Equivalent: **76008**  
Design Capacity: **178350** Percent Design Capacity: **76008/178350 = 42.6%**

---

\*\* REVISED DESIGN CAPACITY TO REFLECT THE CHANGE FROM BIN 4 TO BIN 2 \*\*

J H FEWELL WATER TREATMENT PLANT

\*\*\*\* CT calculations for FEWELL \*\*\*\*

There are four disinfection segments at Fewell, and the contact time must be determined for each segment to achieve 4-log inactivation of viruses, 3-log inactivation of Giardia, and 3.5-log inactivation of Crypto.

Fewell is required to achieve 0.3 turbidity units 95% of the time to comply with the SWTR. If this treatment is achieved, credit can be given for 2-log removal of viruses & Crypto and 2.5-log removal of Giardia. Free chlorine, chloramination, and UV disinfection must then attain the remaining 2-log inactivation of viruses, 0.5-log inactivation of Giardia, and 1.5-log of Crypto.

Book values:

CT required for 0.5-log inactivation of giardia at 10C and pH at 6.5 = 19 mg/L min  
CT required for 2-log inactivation of viruses at 10C and pH at 6.5 = 3 mg/L min

The first segment is free chlorine contact between the point of chlorine injection (at the head of the outlet pipe from the sedimentation basin) and the point of ammonia injection (at the end of the outlet pipe just prior to ammonia injection).

The second is the contact time of free chlorine in the filters during normal filter operation.

The third is the contact time in the clearwell.

The fourth is the UV disinfection.

\*\*BASED ON THE PAST FOUR MONTHS OF TURBIDITY FILTER DATA SUBMITTED ON MONTHLY OPERATING REPORTS, MSDH IS SETTING A MAXIMUM TREATMENT CAPACITY OF 20 MGD. THE 7/13 MGD SPLIT BETWEEN BASINS IS BASED ON STANDARD OPERATION WHEN 20 MGD IS BEING TREATED.\*\*

\*\*CHLORINE RESIDUALS UPDATED TO REFLECT WHAT THE PLANT WAS TREATING ON 3/5/20\*\*

CT SEGMENT 1 (pipes between sed basins and ammonia injection):

The free chlorine is measured by chlorine analyzers which communicate with the ammonia feed system. The concentration of free chlorine in the pipe between Sed Basin #3 and the filters was 2.1 mg/L. The concentration of free chlorine in the pipe between Sed Basin #4 and the filters was 3.0 mg/L.

Calculating the free chlorine contact time between chlorine injection and ammonia injection (at maximum design flows):

Sed basin #3: Pipe dimensions: Length = 351 ft; Diameter = 42 in = 3.5 ft.  
Volume in pipe =  $0.785 \times 3.5 \times 3.5 \times 351 \times 7.48 = 25,247$  gallons  
Estimated flow through Sed basin #3 train: 7 MGD  
Contact time =  $25,247 \text{ gallons} / 7,000,000 \text{ gal/day} \times 1440 \text{ min/day} = 5.19 \text{ min}$

Sed basin #4: Pipe dimensions: Length = 357 ft; Diameter = 48 in = 4 ft.  
Volume = 33,540 gallons  
Estimated flow through Sed basin #4 train: 13 MGD  
Contact time =  $33,540 / 13,000,000 \times 1440 = 3.72 \text{ min}$

**MISSISSIPPI DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH  
BUREAU OF PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY  
DESIGN CAPACITY SHEET**

CITY OF JACKSON 03/12/2020

---

CT SEGMENT 1 (using shortest contact time of 3.72 min) =  $3.0 \text{ mg/L} \times 3.72 \text{ min}$   
= 11.2 mg/L min

(Note: Virus inactivation is achieved in Segment 1; 11.2 mg/L min > 3 mg/L min)

SEGMENT 1 LOG INACTIVATION =  $11.2 \text{ mg/L min} / 19 \text{ mg/L min} \times (0.5 \text{ log}) = 0.29 \text{ log}$

CT SEGMENT 2 (Disinfection in filters):

Disinfectant contact time between filters and clearwell/storage outlet:

Calculate volume of water in filters and estimated residence time:

Volume = L X W X D (where D = depth of water above filter media)  
=  $(20 \text{ ft} \times 10 \text{ ft} \times 1.5 \text{ ft}) \times 7.48 \text{ gal/cu.ft.} \times 12 \text{ filters} +$   
 $(25 \text{ ft} \times 28 \text{ ft} \times 3 \text{ ft}) \times 7.48 \text{ gal/cu.ft.} \times 3 \text{ filters}$   
= 26,928 gallons + 47,124 gallons  
= 74,052 gallons

Residence time =  $(74,052 \text{ gallons} / 20,000,000 \text{ gallons/day}) \times 1440 \text{ min/day}$

Residence time = 5.33 minutes

CT SEGMENT 2 (assuming chlorine concentration = finished water chlorine concentration = 0.3 mg/L)

CT SEGMENT 2 =  $0.3 \text{ mg/L} \times 5.33 \text{ minutes} = 1.6 \text{ mg/L min}$

SEGMENT 2 LOG INACTIVATION =  $1.6 \text{ mg/L min} / 19 \text{ mg/L min} \times (0.5 \text{ log}) = 0.042 \text{ log}$

CT SEGMENT 3 (Disinfection in the clearwell):

Using the clearwell volume of 3.8 MG and a pre-determined baffling factor of 0.233:

Contact time =  $3.8 \text{ MG} / 20 \text{ MGD} \times 1440 \text{ minutes/day} \times 0.233 = 63.7 \text{ minutes}$

Free chlorine measured in finished water = 0.3 mg/L

CT SEGMENT 3 =  $63.7 \text{ minutes} \times 0.3 \text{ mg/L} = 19.1 \text{ mg/L min}$

SEGMENT 3 LOG INACTIVATION =  $19.1 \text{ mg/L min} / 19 \text{ mg/L min} \times (0.5 \text{ log}) = 0.50 \text{ log}$

Total CT using free chlorine =  $(11.2 + 1.6 + 19.1) \text{ mg/L min} = 31.9 \text{ mg/L min}$

Total LOG INACTIVATION using free chlorine = SEGMENT 1 + SEGMENT 2 + SEGMENT 3

Total LOG INACTIVATION using free chlorine =  $0.29 + 0.042 + 0.50 = 0.832 \text{ log}$

CT SEGMENT 4 (UV Disinfection):

At the time of the inspection, Pump 2 was pumping 10 MGD and dosing 28.2 mJ/sq.cm.

According to 40 CFR 141.720 (d)(1), a dose of 3.9 mJ/sq.cm. will achieve a 1.5 log inactivation of Crypto and 1.5-log inactivation of Giardia. Therefore, the UV disinfection more than adequately achieves the remaining inactivation of Giardia & Crypto.

NOTE: Any time that the UV disinfection is offline on any service pump, that service pump MUST be taken offline as free chlorine is not enough to achieve the log credit removal for Crypto.

\*\*\* FEWELL DESIGN CAPACITY \*\*\*\*

Rated treatment capacity of plant = 20 MGD (limiting factor)

Clearwell volume = 3.8 MG

2 additional ground storage tanks @ 5MG each = 10 MG

Total storage located at the plant = 3.8 MG + 10 MG = 13.8 MG

Usable storage (volume filled in 6 hours) =  $20 \text{ MGD} / 24 \text{ hrs/day} \times 6 \text{ hrs} = 5 \text{ MG}$

Plant capacity = rated treatment capacity of plant + usable storage/200 minutes

Plant capacity =  $20 \text{ MGD} + (5 \text{ MG} / 200 \text{ min} \times 1440 \text{ min/day}) = 56 \text{ MGD}$

Service pump capacity =  $(9+9+9+7) = 34 \text{ MGD}$

**MISSISSIPPI DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH  
BUREAU OF PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY  
DESIGN CAPACITY SHEET**

CITY OF JACKSON    03/12/2020

---

FEWELL DESIGN CAPACITY = 20,000,000 gal/day/1440 min/day = 13,889 GPM

---

O B CURTIS WATER TREATMENT PLANT

\*\*\*\* CT calculations for OB Curtis \*\*\*\*

This plant must meet 4-log inactivation of viruses, 3-log inactivation of Giardia, and 3.5-log inactivation of Crypto.

The conventional side is required to achieve 0.3 turbidity units 95% of the time to comply with the SWTR, so credit can be given by default for 2-log removal of viruses & Crypto and 2.5-log removal of Giardia. Free chlorine, chloramination, and UV disinfection must then attain the remaining 2-log inactivation of viruses, 0.5-log inactivation of Giardia, and 1.5-log of Crypto.

The membrane system is required to achieve <0.15 turbidity units 95% of the time to comply with the SWTR, so credit can be given by default for 2-log removal of viruses, 3-log inactivation of Giardia, and 2-log inactivation of Crypto. Free chlorine must achieve the remaining 2-log of viruses. Maintaining membrane integrity must achieve the remaining 1.5-log inactivation of Crypto.

**\*\*MEMBRANE INTEGRITY TESTING (MIT) MUST BE COMPLETED EVERY 24 HOURS. IF THE TRAIN FAILS MIT, IT MUST BE TAKEN OFFLINE UNTIL IT PASSES. IF TRAIN TURBIDITIES EXCEED 0.15 NTU, THAT TRAIN \*MUST\* BE TAKEN OFFLINE UNTIL IT PASSES MIT.\*\***

Book values:

CT required for 0.5-log inactivation of giardia at 10C and pH at 6.5 = 19 mg/L min

CT required for 2-log inactivation of viruses at 10C and pH at 6.5 = 3 mg/L min

The clearwell is divided into two separate zones based on their baffling. The conventional and membrane treatment trains feed mirror image clearwells, so the T and CT values below apply to each treatment process.

Zone 1:

Volume = 1.989 MG; BF = 0.7

$T = [(1.989 \text{ MG} / 25 \text{ MGD}) * 0.7] * 1440 \text{ min/day} = 80.2 \text{ min} @ 25 \text{ MGD}$

Zone 2:

Volume = 1.658 MG; BF = 0.3

$T = [(1.658 / 25 \text{ MGD}) * 0.3] * 1440 \text{ min/day} = 28.7 \text{ min} @ 25 \text{ MGD}$

Total T = 80.2 + 28.7 = 108.9 min

The free chlorine residual measured from High Service 1 (conventional side) finished water = 0.1 mg/L

$Ct_{25} = 0.1 \text{ mg/l} * 108.9 \text{ minutes} = 10.89 \text{ mg/L min}$

Virus inactivation achieved at 0.1 mg/L free chlorine at 25 MGD;  
10.89 mg/L min > 3 mg/L min

Giardia log inactivation = 10.89 mg/L min / 19 mg/L min \* (0.5) = 0.29 log  
Remaining 0.5-log Giardia inactivation not achieved by free chlorine contact time in clearwell.

UV disinfection - UV unit attached to each filter

**MISSISSIPPI DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH  
BUREAU OF PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY  
DESIGN CAPACITY SHEET**

CITY OF JACKSON 03/12/2020

---

Each UV unit must be dosing a minimum of 4 mJ/sq.cm. to achieve the final 1.5-log removal of Crypto and the remaining 0.21-log removal of Giardia.

\*IF AT ANY TIME A UV UNIT IS OUT OF SERVICE, THE CORRESPONDING FILTER MUST BE TAKEN OUT OF SERVICE. CRYPTO AND GIARDIA REMOVAL IS NOT ACHIEVED WITHOUT UV DISINFECTION\*

Full credit for the conventional side treatment capacity of 25.0 MGD can be given.

The free chlorine residual measured from High Service 2 (membrane side) finished water = 0.3 mg/L.

Using this minimum concentration throughout the clearwell and flow rate of 25 MGD, the contact time is:

$T = 108.9 \text{ min}$

$CT = 0.3 \text{ mg/L} * 108.9 \text{ minutes} = 32.67 \text{ mg/L min}$

Virus inactivation achieved at 0.3 mg/L free chlorine at 25 MGD;  
 $32.67 \text{ mg/L min} > 3 \text{ mg/L min}$

Giardia & Crypto log inactivation achieved by maintaining turbidities <0.15 NTU 95% of the time. Any time turbidities exceed 0.15 NTU, that train must be taken offline.

Full credit for the membrane side treatment capacity of 25 MGD can be given.

Treatment capacity is not limited on either side by CT.

TOTAL TREATMENT CAPACITY AT OB CURTIS = Conventional (25 MGD) + Membrane (25 MGD) = 50 MGD

\*\*\* OB CURTIS DESIGN CAPACITY \*\*\*

Raw water pump capacity = (9+8+9+8+8+17+8+17) MGD = 84 MGD

Rated treatment capacity of plant = 50 MGD (limiting factor)

Service pump capacity = (8+8+12+12+12+16+16+22+22) = 128 MGD

Total storage located at the plant (clearwell capacity) = 10 MG

Usable storage (volume filled in 6 hours) = 50 MGD/24 hrs/day x 6 hrs = 12.5 MG (This is greater than 10 MG, so 10 MG = usable storage)

Total plant capacity = rated treatment capacity of plant + usable storage/200 minutes

Total plant capacity = 50 MGD + (10 MG/200min \* 1440 min/day) = 122 MGD

This exceeds the service pump capacity of 128 MGD, so:

OB CURTIS DESIGN CAPACITY = 122 MGD

---

TOTAL SYSTEM DESIGN CAPACITY

TOTAL CAPACITY OF FEWELL AND OB CURTIS PLANTS = 20 MGD + 122 MGD = 142 MGD

$142,000,000 \text{ gpd} / 1440 \text{ min/day} = 98,611 \text{ CONNECTIONS} + 3739 \text{ (WELLS)} = 102,350 \text{ CONNECTIONS}$

TOTAL ELEVATED STORAGE ON SYSTEM:

$0.5 \text{ MG} + 0.2 + 1.0 + 0.5 + 1.5 + 1.0 + 1.0 + 0.5 + 1.0 + 1.0 + 0.25 + 1.5 + 0.25 + 3.0 + 2.0 = 15.2 \text{ MG}$

FINAL DESIGN CAPACITY FOR ENTIRE SYSTEM:

Final design capacity = 102,350 + (15,200,000 gal/200 min) = 178,350 CONNECTIONS

EQUIVALENT CONNECTIONS CALCULATIONS:

COMMERCIAL/INDUSTRIAL USAGE FACTOR CALCULATIONS:

$Ciu = \text{Average total CI use(gal)}/\text{avg total use (gal)}$

**MISSISSIPPI DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH  
BUREAU OF PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY  
DESIGN CAPACITY SHEET**

CITY OF JACKSON 03/12/2020

---

The Ciu factor calculated from 2014 data = 0.28  
Number of Actual Connections = 54,679  
Apartment Adjusted = # Units X 2/3 = 17,992 x 2/3 = 11,995  
Total Adjusted Connections = Actual + Apartment Adjusted  
= 54,679 + 11,995 = 66,674

Eq. connections = # of adjusted conn + (# of adjusted conn x Ciu factor x 0.5)  
= 66,674 + (66,674 x 0.28 x 0.5)  
= 76,008

Total final equivalent connections = 76,008

THEREFORE THIS SYSTEM IS CURRENTLY AT 76,008/178,350 = 43% CAPACITY.